

**Florida State Wildlife Grants
Initial Review Form**

The initial review identifies those proposed projects that best meet the stated criteria in the grant announcement. Goal Team members complete initial reviews for all project proposals submitted. Initial reviews are used to determine which projects to recommend for technical reviews. Because of the Sunshine Law, an applicant may request information about initial reviews. While every effort will be made to ensure reviewer anonymity, disclosure of reviewer names may be legally required. Your scores and comments are valuable to the Florida Wildlife Legacy Initiative as projects are considered for funding.

Project Name

Reviewer Name

Date

Please score the proposed project using the questions below and a 1-5 scale for each question, where 1 is poor and 5 is excellent. There are ten questions, each with a possible score of 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5. Please enter your total score for all ten questions next to "total project review score." The highest score possible is 50.

Space is provided on the second page for you to further explain the score you give this proposal.

FWLI Priorities

1. Does this project proposal meet the criteria set forth in the grant announcement? 5
2. Will this project address one or more goals, priority habitats or SGCN as identified in the SWAP? 5

Project Approach

3. To what extent are partners and partnership-building, both internal and external, incorporated into the project? 5
4. Is an adequate and secure source of match committed to the project? 5
5. Can the proposed approach meet the project objectives and need within the project period? 5
6. Do the proposed approach and associated budget items demonstrate cost effectiveness in meeting project objectives? 5

Project Deliverables

7. Will the deliverables contribute to the conservation need identified in the grant cycle announcement? 5
8. Will this project strengthen adaptive management by incorporating monitoring, now or after SWG funding ends? 5
9. Will this project be relevant in the long term, after SWG funding ends? 5

Overall

10. What is your overall evaluation of the project? 5

Total Project Review Score

Please provide additional comments and concerns regarding this project. As you write your comments, please consider the following.

Are the costs and schedule reasonable, given the suggested approach?

Will the suggested approach address the need and objectives identified in the grant announcement?

How does this project relate to the State Wildlife Action Plan, its Implementation Goals, and the priority habitats and species therein?

How does this application compare to others submitted in response to the call for proposals?